

Date: Wed, 3 Nov 93 04:30:20 PST
From: Ham-Digital Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-digital@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Digital-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Digital Digest V93 #98
To: Ham-Digital

Ham-Digital Digest Wed, 3 Nov 93 Volume 93 : Issue 98

Today's Topics:

 info on cellular mods - illegal? YES!
 Question about Apple Computer and packet

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Digital-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Digital Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-digital".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 01 Nov 93 23:36:50 CST
From: swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: info on cellular mods - illegal? YES!
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

Rick Aldom <ayka60@email.sps.mot.com> writes:

> In article <ZBgBcc1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com> Robert,
> robert@amanda.jpunix.com writes:
> >
> > --Robert
> >
> >
> > -----
> >| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human. At best |
> >| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |
not make messes in the house.
>
> Robert,
> Your tag line must be funny to you, but it goes to show your level of
> incompetence. Grow up and GET A LIFE.

I have a life, and a license. Surprise, surprise.

--Robert

```
-----
| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human.  At best |
| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |
| not make messes in the house.                                     |
-----
```

Date: 1 Nov 1993 21:56:27 -0800
From: olivea@apple.com!apple.com!not-for-mail@ames.arpa
Subject: Question about Apple Computer and packet
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Oct27.123512.3076@lub001.lamar.edu>,
<lairdpg@lub001.lamar.edu> wrote:
>I have a question which I hope someone might help me to answer. Can an
>Apple IIC computer be used for packet operations? I don't recall hearing or
>seeing any information about its use in packet communications. If so, what
>type of TNC and software might one use to get it setup for operation?

Sure, just like any personal computer, all it needs is a TNC, a cable
to connect the TNC to the computer, and a communications program.
There's at least one Apple II comm program written specifically for
packet (see below), but any terminal program will work.

73,
Patty

>>The products mentioned here are not endorsed by Apple Computer, Inc.<<

APR (Apple Packet Radio)
For use with TNC-2s. Send a formatted 5-1/4 or 3-1/2 inch disk and a
stamped, self-addressed disk mailer to Larry East, W1HUE, PO Box
51445, Idaho Falls, ID 83405.

--

```
===== Patty Winter =====
Apple contractor           Internet: winter@apple.com
Sunnyvale, California      AMPRNet: 44.4.4.50
```

"What about truth? What about reality?"

"What about the way the old ending tested in Canoga Park?"

===== N6BIS =====

Date: 1 Nov 1993 19:09:41 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!
dptspd!TAMUTS.TAMU.EDU!cs.tamu.edu!willis@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

References <CFHs74.44A@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca>, <adlerCFLqqC.CyK@netcom.com>,
<CFpvsC.Fwz@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca>mu.edu

Subject : Re: info on cellular mods - illegal? YES!

In article <CFpvsC.Fwz@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca>,
mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (Mark Gregory Salyzyn) writes:
> adler@netcom.com (Bruce Adler) writes:
> >I think you've mis-read the ECPA.

Me, too.

>
> I hope I have (I will include section 2512 extraced from the act for you to
> be the judge, but you REALLY need to read the entire act to get some context
> for the sub-section)

Done.

>
> >It says absolutely nothing about making it illegal to TELL

Correct (IMNSHO). All the references refer to *devices* or advertisements
for *devices*, not documents.

> > I also don't think it makes it illegal for you to
> >build such as device as long as you never use it and don't attempt to sell
> >it.

True *only* if none of the components have been "transported in interstate
or foreign commerce", which means effectively banning self manufacture.
(Seems Constitutionally questionable, but hey, so are a lot of things)

> Electronic communications is Cellular, publication includes 'packet'). You
> will note that is it illegal to TELL ...

WHERE does it say that?

